SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL

Franchise Tax Board

Related Bills: See Prior Analysis Telephone: 845-3627 Amended Date: 03/02/2000 Automey: Patrick Kusiak Sponsor: SUBJECT: Seismic Retrofit Construction On Residential Dwellings Credit DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended AMENDMENTS IDIA NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENT'S CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended PURTHER AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENT'S CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended PURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY. DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS INTRODUCED January 14, 2000, STILL APPLIES. OTHER - See comments below. SUMMARY OF BILL This bill would provide a credit equal to 55% of the amount paid or incurred for seismic retrofit construction on single-family or multiple-family residential structures constructed before 1979. SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT The March 2, 2000, amendment reduced the maximum allowable credit from \$5,000 to \$3,000 for each single-family residential structure. As a result of the March 2, 2000, amendment, the tax revenue estimate has been revised and is provided below. The implementation and technical considerations addressed in the department's earlier analysis were not resolved by the March 2, 2000, amendment and are included below. Except for the changes noted in this analysis, the department's analysis of AB 1756, as introduced January 14, 2000, still applies. Implementation Considerations It is unclear how the Franchise Tax Board would verify whether a taxpayer's selsmic retrofit construction mets the requirements of this bill and is therefore eligible for the credit. The author may consider requiring the proper local authority to certify that the seismic retrofit construction met the requirements of this bill and requiring the taxpayer to obtain, retain and provide to the Franchise Tax Board, upon request, evidence of that certification. Board Position: Solvent Provided Provided Provided Pr	Author: Corbett	Analyst: Roger Lackey	Bill Number: _AB 1756		
DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended	Related Bills: See Prior Analysis	Telephone: <u>845-3627</u>	Amended Date: 03/02/2000		
DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended		Attorney: Patrick Kusiak	Sponsor:		
AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENT'S CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as immoduced/amended	SUBJECT: Seismic Retrofit Cons	truction On Residential	Dwellings Credit		
AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENT'S CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended introduced/amended FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY. DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO	introduced/amended				
DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO X REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS INTRODUCED January 14, 2000, STILL APPLIES. OTHER - See comments below. SUMMARY OF BILL This bill would provide a credit equal to 55% of the amount paid or incurred for seismic retrofit construction on single-family or multiple-family residential structures constructed before 1979. SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT The March 2, 2000, amendment reduced the maximum allowable credit from \$5,000 to \$3,000 for each single-family residential structure. As a result of the March 2, 2000, amendment, the tax revenue estimate has been revised and is provided below. The implementation and technical considerations addressed in the department's earlier analysis were not resolved by the March 2, 2000, amendment and are included below. Except for the changes noted in this analysis, the department's analysis of AB 1756, as introduced January 14, 2000, still applies. Implementation Considerations It is unclear how the Franchise Tax Board would verify whether a taxpayer's seismic retrofit construction meets the requirements of this bill and is therefore eligible for the credit. The author may consider requiring the proper local authority to certify that the seismic retrofit construction met the requirements of this bill and requiring the taxpayer to obtain, retain and provide to the Franchise Tax Board, upon request, evidence of that certification. Board Position: Shall Political Applies. Legislative Director Date NAR Gerald H. Goldbern 322000	AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLV	-			
X REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS INTRODUCED January 14, 2000, STILL APPLIES. OTHER - See comments below. SUMMARY OF BILL This bill would provide a credit equal to 55% of the amount paid or incurred for seismic retrofit construction on single-family or multiple-family residential structures constructed before 1979. SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT The March 2, 2000, amendment reduced the maximum allowable credit from \$5,000 to \$3,000 for each single-family residential structure. As a result of the March 2, 2000, amendment, the tax revenue estimate has been revised and is provided below. The implementation and technical considerations addressed in the department's earlier analysis were not resolved by the March 2, 2000, amendment and are included below. Except for the changes noted in this analysis, the department's analysis of AB 1756, as introduced January 14, 2000, still applies. Implementation Considerations It is unclear how the Franchise Tax Board would verify whether a taxpayer's seismic retrofit construction meets the requirements of this bill and is therefore eligible for the credit. The author may consider requiring the proper local authority to certify that the seismic retrofit construction met the requirements of this bill and requiring the taxpayer to obtain, retain and provide to the Franchise Tax Board, upon request, evidence of that certification. Board Position: NA NA NA Cerald H Goldhern 322/000	FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECES	SSARY.			
This bill would provide a credit equal to 55% of the amount paid or incurred for seismic retrofit construction on single-family or multiple-family residential structures constructed before 1979. SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT The March 2, 2000, amendment reduced the maximum allowable credit from \$5,000 to \$3,000 for each single-family residential structure. As a result of the March 2, 2000, amendment, the tax revenue estimate has been revised and is provided below. The implementation and technical considerations addressed in the department's earlier analysis were not resolved by the March 2, 2000, amendment and are included below. Except for the changes noted in this analysis, the department's analysis of AB 1756, as introduced January 14, 2000, still applies. Implementation Considerations It is unclear how the Franchise Tax Board would verify whether a taxpayer's seismic retrofit construction meets the requirements of this bill and is therefore eligible for the credit. The author may consider requiring the proper local authority to certify that the seismic retrofit construction met the requirements of this bill and requiring the taxpayer to obtain, retain and provide to the Franchise Tax Board, upon request, evidence of that certification. Board Position: Shall NA NA NA NA Gerald H Goldhern 3/22/00	DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANC	GED TO	<u>_</u> .		
This bill would provide a credit equal to 55% of the amount paid or incurred for seismic retrofit construction on single-family or multiple-family residential structures constructed before 1979. SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT The March 2, 2000, amendment reduced the maximum allowable credit from \$5,000 to \$3,000 for each single-family residential structure. As a result of the March 2, 2000, amendment, the tax revenue estimate has been revised and is provided below. The implementation and technical considerations addressed in the department's earlier analysis were not resolved by the March 2, 2000, amendment and are included below. Except for the changes noted in this analysis, the department's analysis of AB 1756, as introduced January 14, 2000, still applies. Implementation Considerations It is unclear how the Franchise Tax Board would verify whether a taxpayer's seismic retrofit construction meets the requirements of this bill and is therefore eligible for the credit. The author may consider requiring the proper local authority to certify that the seismic retrofit construction met the requirements of this bill and requiring the taxpayer to obtain, retain and provide to the Franchise Tax Board, upon request, evidence of that certification. Board Position: Shall NA NP Legislative Director Date SA NA NP NP NAR Gerald H Goldberg 3/22/00	x REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANA	LYSIS OF BILL AS INTRODUCED <u>J</u>	anuary 14, 2000, STILL APPLIES.		
This bill would provide a credit equal to 55% of the amount paid or incurred for seismic retrofit construction on single-family or multiple-family residential structures constructed before 1979. SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT The March 2, 2000, amendment reduced the maximum allowable credit from \$5,000 to \$3,000 for each single-family residential structure. As a result of the March 2, 2000, amendment, the tax revenue estimate has been revised and is provided below. The implementation and technical considerations addressed in the department's earlier analysis were not resolved by the March 2, 2000, amendment and are included below. Except for the changes noted in this analysis, the department's analysis of AB 1756, as introduced January 14, 2000, still applies. Implementation Considerations It is unclear how the Franchise Tax Board would verify whether a taxpayer's seismic retrofit construction meets the requirements of this bill and is therefore eligible for the credit. The author may consider requiring the proper local authority to certify that the seismic retrofit construction met the requirements of this bill and requiring the taxpayer to obtain, retain and provide to the Franchise Tax Board, upon request, evidence of that certification. Board Position: Board Position: NA NP NA NA NP Legislative Director Date Legislative Director Date Legislative Director Date	OTHER - See comments below.				
This bill would provide a credit equal to 55% of the amount paid or incurred for seismic retrofit construction on single-family or multiple-family residential structures constructed before 1979. SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT The March 2, 2000, amendment reduced the maximum allowable credit from \$5,000 to \$3,000 for each single-family residential structure. As a result of the March 2, 2000, amendment, the tax revenue estimate has been revised and is provided below. The implementation and technical considerations addressed in the department's earlier analysis were not resolved by the March 2, 2000, amendment and are included below. Except for the changes noted in this analysis, the department's analysis of AB 1756, as introduced January 14, 2000, still applies. Implementation Considerations It is unclear how the Franchise Tax Board would verify whether a taxpayer's seismic retrofit construction meets the requirements of this bill and is therefore eligible for the credit. The author may consider requiring the proper local authority to certify that the seismic retrofit construction met the requirements of this bill and requiring the taxpayer to obtain, retain and provide to the Franchise Tax Board, upon request, evidence of that certification. Board Position: Board Position: NA NP NA NA NP Legislative Director Date Legislative Director Date Legislative Director Date	SUMMARY OF BILL				
The March 2, 2000, amendment reduced the maximum allowable credit from \$5,000 to \$3,000 for each single-family residential structure. As a result of the March 2, 2000, amendment, the tax revenue estimate has been revised and is provided below. The implementation and technical considerations addressed in the department's earlier analysis were not resolved by the March 2, 2000, amendment and are included below. Except for the changes noted in this analysis, the department's analysis of AB 1756, as introduced January 14, 2000, still applies. Implementation Considerations It is unclear how the Franchise Tax Board would verify whether a taxpayer's seismic retrofit construction meets the requirements of this bill and is therefore eligible for the credit. The author may consider requiring the proper local authority to certify that the seismic retrofit construction met the requirements of this bill and requiring the taxpayer to obtain, retain and provide to the Franchise Tax Board, upon request, evidence of that certification. Board Position: Board Position: NA NP NAR Gerald H Goldbern 3/22/00	This bill would provide a credit equal to 55% of the amount paid or incurred for seismic retrofit construction on single-family or multiple-family residential				
\$3,000 for each single-family residential structure. As a result of the March 2, 2000, amendment, the tax revenue estimate has been revised and is provided below. The implementation and technical considerations addressed in the department's earlier analysis were not resolved by the March 2, 2000, amendment and are included below. Except for the changes noted in this analysis, the department's analysis of AB 1756, as introduced January 14, 2000, still applies. Implementation Considerations It is unclear how the Franchise Tax Board would verify whether a taxpayer's seismic retrofit construction meets the requirements of this bill and is therefore eligible for the credit. The author may consider requiring the proper local authority to certify that the seismic retrofit construction met the requirements of this bill and requiring the taxpayer to obtain, retain and provide to the Franchise Tax Board, upon request, evidence of that certification. Board Position: S NA NP NAR Gerald H Goldhern 3/22/00	SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT				
The implementation and technical considerations addressed in the department's earlier analysis were not resolved by the March 2, 2000, amendment and are included below. Except for the changes noted in this analysis, the department's analysis of AB 1756, as introduced January 14, 2000, still applies. Implementation Considerations It is unclear how the Franchise Tax Board would verify whether a taxpayer's seismic retrofit construction meets the requirements of this bill and is therefore eligible for the credit. The author may consider requiring the proper local authority to certify that the seismic retrofit construction met the requirements of this bill and requiring the taxpayer to obtain, retain and provide to the Franchise Tax Board, upon request, evidence of that certification. Board Position: Shamplementation and technical considerations and value of the construction met to be requirements of this bill and requiring the taxpayer to obtain, retain and provide to the Franchise Tax Board, upon request, evidence of that certification. Board Position: Shamplementation and technical considerations and provide to the Franchise Tax Board, upon request, evidence of that certification. Date Shamplementation and technical considerations and provide to the Franchise Tax Board, upon request, evidence of that certification. Board Position: Shamplementation and technical considerations and provide to the Franchise Tax Board, upon request, evidence of that certification.					
earlier analysis were not resolved by the March 2, 2000, amendment and are included below. Except for the changes noted in this analysis, the department's analysis of AB 1756, as introduced January 14, 2000, still applies. Implementation Considerations It is unclear how the Franchise Tax Board would verify whether a taxpayer's seismic retrofit construction meets the requirements of this bill and is therefore eligible for the credit. The author may consider requiring the proper local authority to certify that the seismic retrofit construction met the requirements of this bill and requiring the taxpayer to obtain, retain and provide to the Franchise Tax Board, upon request, evidence of that certification. Board Position: SA NP NA Regislative Director Date ON ON ON ON ON ON ON ON ON O					
Implementation Considerations It is unclear how the Franchise Tax Board would verify whether a taxpayer's seismic retrofit construction meets the requirements of this bill and is therefore eligible for the credit. The author may consider requiring the proper local authority to certify that the seismic retrofit construction met the requirements of this bill and requiring the taxpayer to obtain, retain and provide to the Franchise Tax Board, upon request, evidence of that certification. Board Position: Board Position: SA ONP NA ONP NA ONP SA ONP NAR Gerald H Goldberg 3/22/00	earlier analysis were not resolved by the March 2, 2000, amendment and are				
It is unclear how the Franchise Tax Board would verify whether a taxpayer's seismic retrofit construction meets the requirements of this bill and is therefore eligible for the credit. The author may consider requiring the proper local authority to certify that the seismic retrofit construction met the requirements of this bill and requiring the taxpayer to obtain, retain and provide to the Franchise Tax Board, upon request, evidence of that certification. Board Position: Shape NA NP SA Gerald H Goldberg 3/22/00					
seismic retrofit construction meets the requirements of this bill and is therefore eligible for the credit. The author may consider requiring the proper local authority to certify that the seismic retrofit construction met the requirements of this bill and requiring the taxpayer to obtain, retain and provide to the Franchise Tax Board, upon request, evidence of that certification. Board Position: S NA NP NA NP NA _	Implementation Considerations				
S NA NP NP SA O NAR Gerald H. Goldberg 3/22/00	seismic retrofit construction meets the requirements of this bill and is therefore eligible for the credit. The author may consider requiring the proper local authority to certify that the seismic retrofit construction met the requirements of this bill and requiring the taxpayer to obtain, retain and provide to the Franchise Tax Board, upon request, evidence of that				
	S NA O	NAR			

C:\WINDOWS\TEMP\AB 1756 03-02-00SA0F.DOC 03/27/00 7:23 AM

Assembly Bill 1756 (Corbett) Amended March 2, 2000 Page 2

Except for the implementation concern above, implementing this bill would not significantly impact the department's programs and operations.

Technical Considerations

The credit language refers to a \$2,500 limitation per "unit" in a multiple-family residential structure, while the definitional sections define a "dwelling unit." It is unclear whether the word "dwelling" was intended to precede "unit" in the credit limitation language, or whether the author intends the credit limitation language to apply to non-dwelling units in multiple-family residential structures such as community clubhouses or other common areas.

Tax Revenue Estimate

Based on the data and assumptions below, revenue losses are estimated as follows:

Estimated Revenue Impact					
AB1756					
Taxable or Income Years					
Beginning After					
12/31/2000					
Assumed Enactment After					
6/30/2000					
(In Millions)					
2000-1	2001-2	2002-3	2003-4		
Minor	-\$10	-\$14	-\$28		
Loss					

* Minor Loss = Less than \$500,000

This analysis does not consider the possible changes in employment, personal income, or gross state product that could result from this proposal.

Tax Revenue Discussion

Revised revenue losses above reflect a reduction of \$1 million for fiscal year 2002-3, \$2 million for 2003-4, and no change for fiscal years 2000-1 and 2001-2 (due to rounding) from the previous version of this bill as introduced January 14, 2000. The revised revenue losses are attributable to reducing the credit limitations from \$5,000 to \$3,000 for single-family residential structures.

Our previous analysis and assumptions still apply.

BOARD POSITION

Pending.